

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,085 12/15/2003		Tsuyoshi Kamitani	04536.029001	3275	
22511	7590	11/24/2006		EXAMINER	
OSHA LIA		- ·	MOE, AUNG SOE		
SUITE 2800		KELI .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	HOUSTON, TX 77010			2618	
				DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/736,085	KAMITANI, TSUYOSHI	KAMITANI, TSUYOSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Aung S. Moe	2618			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, af places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m time periods:	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	- ·
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding amount under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate to the corresponding date of the corr	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the data of filing a brief	F will not be entered because
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially re appeal; and/or	educing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, 	timely filed amandment assessing the
non-allowable claim(s).	, umery filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>3 and 4</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a N because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidav was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after e	* * * *
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application is see attached.	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:	
	Aung S. Moe
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2618

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/736,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/02/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 2, the Applicant alleged "Matsumoto '487 fails to discloses the converting unit is configured to change <u>a kind of operation</u> converted from the received signal according to a request from said external transmission device" as required by claim 2.

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because as noted (i.e., in page 4 of the remark) by the Applicant that Matsumoto '487 does in fact discloses the system control circuit 131 (i.e., a converting unit as claimed) which is capable of changing "the sound volume" to a value +1 larger than the present set value based on the received signal (Infrared signal) from the remote controller 139 (i.e., see Fig. 11). In view of this, changing the sound operation based on the external transmission device 139 as taught by Matsumoto '487 clearly read on the broadly claimed limitations such as "changing a kind of operation" as recited in present claimed invention. It is also noted that "a kind of operation" recited in present claimed invention does not limit any specific operation. In particular, Matsumoto '487 clearly discussed that the control circuit 131 (i.e., converting unit) changes a kind of operation, such as the sound volume, converted from the received signal (i.e., noted the received infrared signal must be converted at the receiver in order to perform a corresponding operation) according to a request by pressing key 713 of the external remote controller 139.

In view of the above, the examiner asserts that Matsumoto '487 does in fact anticipated for at least the reasons as discussed above.

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung S. Moe whose telephone number is 571-272-7314. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aung S. Moe
Primary Examiner

A. Moe November 20, 2006